

## APPENDIX E: RARE HABITATS AND SPECIES OF THE KLAMATH NETWORK

1.1 Overview .....	1
1.2 Rare Habitats of the Klamath Network Parks.....	2
A. Rare habitat types: Aquatic .....	2
B. Rare habitat types: Terrestrial .....	3
C. Rare habitat types: Subterranean.....	4
1.3 Rare and Endangered Species .....	5
A. Background .....	5
1.4 Patterns of Rarity and Their Implications for Inventory and Monitoring.....	6
1.5 Anthropogenic Influences on Rarity .....	9
1.6 General threats to Rare Species in the Klamath Network.....	10
1.7 Rare Species in the Klamath Parks, and Their Status .....	11
1.8 References Cited .....	3

### 1.1 OVERVIEW

In large-scale monitoring and conservation programs, such as the National Park Service Inventory and Monitoring Program, rare habitats and species may be under-represented, but disproportionately important or imperiled (Stohlgren et al. 1997, Kaye et al. 1997). These focal species and special landscape elements are important for conservation and merit special consideration during the development of a comprehensive monitoring program (Noss 2004).

Many approaches to monitor and conserve biological diversity have stressed the importance of habitat conservation as a vehicle to conserve species (reviewed in Noss et al. 1997). Both resource management and conservation biology often give considerable attention to rare habitats in a monitoring framework. This approach may be especially important in the Klamath Region. Many of the region's endemic species are associated with unique hydrologic or edaphic sites, such as serpentine soils, rocky outcrops, cliffs, rock crevices, or wetlands, which provide local refugia from competition, predation, adverse microclimates, or fire (Coleman and Kruckeberg 1999, Beaver 2002). In addition, rare habitats contribute significantly to increasing landscape diversity within NPS units. Finally, some of these rare environments types may act as keystone habitats (*sensu* Stohlgren et al. 1997), as in the case of springs, ephemeral water sources, and aspen groves.

At the same time, there is great value in better understanding the ecology and life history of the rare species themselves. Although an integrated view of biodiversity would encompass a vast array of species and processes in any landscape, rare, threatened, and endangered species draw disproportionate attention because they are especially imperiled, are charismatic or otherwise well known, and they are protected by law. While it is clear that we are only beginning to understand the ecology of many rare taxa, such as soil arthropods, others are sufficiently well known to merit inclusion in a monitoring program.

In many, but by no means all cases, rare habitats and species are closely linked in time and space. Therefore, in this appendix we review information about the types and particular rare habitats and species in the Klamath Network parks. For each section, we present an overview of relevant theory pertinent to defining types of rarity. Next, we provide a few known examples of rare habitats or species. Since our current knowledge of rare species and habitats is limited, we do not provide a complete list here. Rather, we present general principles to provide a framework for rarity and populate this framework with examples where knowledge or data are available.

## **1.2 RARE HABITATS OF THE KLAMATH NETWORK PARKS**

As described in Chapter One of this report, the Klamath Region is a physically complex and biologically diverse landscape. In fact, the western and southern portions of the region have been designated as among of the globe's 25 "biodiversity hotspots" (Myers et al. 2000). Persistence of this diversity depends upon developing a better understanding of the rare and unique habitats of the region and an ability to document trends in their condition through time. For this reason, we first discuss rare habitats of the network; we discuss rare species in a subsequent section.

Although habitat rarity may be defined in many ways, we believe it is important to recognize that habitats may not only be rare spatially, but also in a temporal sense. Examples of habitats that have a time-limited presence on the landscape include ephemeral springs or pools in semiarid regions, snowpacks or snowmelt pools, early-successional habitats following disturbances, and unique structural features, such as cat-face scars, or mistletoe brooms, that form in vegetation.

In the following subsection, we discuss rare habitats in each of the three ecosystem domains discussed in the main body of the report: (1) Aquatic, (2) Terrestrial, and (3) Subterranean. We support the authority of park staff to highlight park rare or special status habitats based on either local or regional rarity, as well as a recognition that a habitat has exceptional ecological or interpretive importance to the park(s).

### **A. Rare habitat types: Aquatic**

Marine – Along the more than 50 kilometers of shoreline within Redwood National and State Parks, unique spatially delimited habitats abound. Sea stacks, blowholes, sea bluffs, estuaries, and sea caves are all represented. Most of these habitats are park-rare, meaning that although they are seen along much of the north Pacific Coast, they are rare within the park and network. The coastline is one of the least understood parts of the Klamath Network, so rare coastal habitats have yet to be defined authoritatively.

Freshwater – Although aquatic environments are not particularly uncommon in the wetter parks, small, isolated seeps and wetlands are certainly rare at the landscape scale and poorly understood at the landscape scale in most of the parks. These habitats are known to harbor globally rare plant species, and such habitats often contain localized populations of mollusks, crustaceans or

other disjunct species with low dispersal abilities (Marcot 1997). This is especially the case when the wetlands have unique geochemistry (e.g., serpentine fens).

Within larger lakes, unique subhabitats are just beginning to be explored. For example, the greatest depths in Crater Lake represent a continentally rare habitat, characterized by low light levels, colder and stable water temperatures, and high pressure. While much remains to be learned about these deepwater habitats, they may contain distinctive invertebrates, and microorganisms.

Geothermal environments are also locally important in the Cascades parks. The hot springs and fumaroles at Lassen, likely support an interesting thermophilic microfauna (Siering and Wilson. 2001). Geothermal influences along the floor of Crater Lake have long been suggested based on increases in water temperature depth (Collier et al. 1990).

Finally, a rare but in many ways keystone type of subterranean habitat includes hyporheic zones.

## **B. Rare habitat types: Terrestrial**

Climatically rare or disjunct habitats are an important dimension of habitat diversity in the Klamath Network. Although the coastal fog zone is locally extensive, it is a regionally rare habitat with a number of associated species (e.g., Redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*). Even more closely restricted to the coastal strip are the areas of salt spray along the coastal bluffs and headlands. These sites, where the environment is too rigorous for the coast redwood, have a wealth of species that are either more tolerant of salt spray, such as Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*), or require more light, such as shore pine (*Pinus contorta* ssp. *contorta*) and a number of coastal sage scrub plant genera (*Baccharis*, *Ceanothus*).

Subalpine and alpine areas are also unique climatic zones in the higher elevation parks. During the last two decades, arctic and alpine habitats these areas have attracted increasing attention, because of their increased vulnerability to climate change. As Peters and Darling (1985) postulated, Thomas et al. (2004) modeled, and Beever et al. (2003), and others have demonstrated with empirically field data, increased temperatures due to rapid climate change can force the elevational distribution of species upslope, effectively pushing the distribution of species ‘off’ the mountain, resulting in local extirpation or extinction. This is especially true for species with poor dispersal capabilities or at very high elevations, because they are less able to migrate to comparable habitats at more northern latitudes. Within the alpine and subalpine zones, specific subhabitats, such as permanent snow fields, snowmelt beds and cirques, are even more spatially limited. For example, two bryophytes with arctic-alpine distributions (*Andreaea nivalis* and *Polytrichum sexangulare*) reach the southern extreme of their range in late snow melt beds at Lassen (Showers, 1982). Tracking conditions of elevationally limited habitats and associated species will be an important dimension of a landscape scale monitoring program.

Within the terrestrial environment, areas with unique physical structure, such as rocky outcrop areas, talus slopes, dunes, cliffs, and lava flows often provide unique habitat for plant and animals species. Cliff faces, which represent little more than a line in a two-dimensional

depiction of a landscape's habitats, provide two important functions for conservation of natural resources. These habitats are used obligately by many taxa, including volant species such as cliff swallows (*Petrochelidon pyrrhonota*), sea birds such as puffins and auklets (Bakker 1971), and bats, and numerous vascular plant species (e.g., *Sedum spathulifolium*). Cliff faces have also been shown to harbor a disproportionately large percentage of the rare bryophyte taxa within an ecoregion (Vitt and Belland 1997). In addition, because they are largely inaccessible, cliff areas are increasingly used as reference areas for gauging human impacts (Larson et al. 1999).

Often but not exclusively found in these alpine and subalpine habitats, talus slopes represent another rare habitat type within the network. These environments harbor species that are obligates, such as the American pika (*Ochotona princeps*), or more facultative associates, such as the yellow-bellied marmot (*Marmota flaviventris*) and the bushy-tailed woodrat (*Neotoma cinerea*). Extrusive volcanic deposits, such as *aa* lava flows, provide habitats that are similar to talus. Although these craggy, rough, convoluted rocks (which contrast with the smooth, *pahoehoe* lava flows) are not a rare habitat within Lava Beds, they are a regionally rare and unique habitat.

Soils or parent materials with distinctive geochemistry that affect the resources available to plants are especially important habitats in the Klamath Region. Serpentine soils, for example, are often associated with rare and endemic plant species (Coleman and Kruckeberg 1999, Harrison 1999). It is estimated that 10% of the endemic flora of California is associated with serpentine patches, and this habitat is particularly important because it is more resistant to invasion by introduced species than more fertile soils (Heunneke et al. 1990, Harrison 1999). Harrison (1999) noted that invasion by non-native species increased with calcium levels and decreased with patch size. Less dramatic but similarly important effect may occur on islands of other distinctive substrata, such as limestone and gabbro. Soils with hard pans that develop perched water tables in winter and spring may support unique species tolerant of flooding and desiccation.

Unique habitats can also occur due to biological features. Depending upon the life span of the vegetation, these can be ephemeral or semipermanent habitats. . According to Sillett and Bailey (2003), large redwood trees are among the "most structurally complex trees on earth," with individual crowns composed of "multiple, reiterated trunks rising from other trunks and branches...indistinguishable from free-standing trees except for their origins within the crown of a larger tree.". Sillett and Van Pelt (2000) studied a single old-growth redwood tree in Redwood National Park and found that its crown had "148 resprouted trunks arising from the main trunk, other trunks, or branches. The largest resprouted trunk was over 40 meters tall. . Other habitat elements created by fire or other disturbances (snags, catfaces), as well as canopy deformation caused by pathogens (mistletoe brooms) are probably also importance spatially restricted terrestrial habitats.

### **C. Rare habitat types: Subterranean**

Aside from the more accessible caves and lava tubes, we currently know very little about the subterranean environment and its rare habitats. Soils may be the most biologically diverse environments all park ecosystems. Mesic forests west of the Pacific Northwest often have deep litter layers and may support the highest densities and diversities of soil arthropods anywhere in

the world (Moldenke 1999). Moreover, the mix of varied climate and rock types in the region results in patchy soil regimes, ideal for speciation of subterranean biota.

Below and adjacent to flowing water environments, the hyporheic zone has been increasingly recognized as a biologically rich realm (Edwards 1998). These environments support communities of invertebrates such as microcrustaceans, oligochaetes, water mites, and early larval forms of stoneflies and may-flies. In addition, they form prime habitat for spawning salmon, due to oxygenated stream water downwelling through redds (Stanford and Ward 1993).

Although locally abundant, caves are rare and highly unique when viewed at a landscape or regional scale. Factors that increase cave biodiversity include many caves in an area, large caves (more habitat diversity) and moderate organic input. Archae and bacteria in the cave forms manganese-rich patinas, cave slime, Mundmilch, and rust from sulfate oxidation. While we know that this habitat diversity is important for cave flora and fauna, no systematic study of habitat rarity has yet been compiled.

Karst caves, with their distinctively complex mixture of background and accreted rock types are structurally and chemically diverse. Flowing or dripping water may also provide habitat for unique species. The ice caves and lava tubes represent thermal refugia in an otherwise hot, dry environment/macrocclimate that is used by a number of species. For example, American pikas found in Lava Beds occur 805 m below the lowest elevation they would be predicted to inhabit, based on currently extant populations in the adjacent Great Basin (Beever 2002; E. Beever, unpublished analyses). Several ferns occurring in lava tube openings are disjunct from their typical habitats by hundreds of kilometers (Smith et al. 1993). For example, populations of *Dryopteris expansa* at Lava Beds are disjunct by many kilometers from within their main range in coastal Humboldt and Del Norte Counties. Bryophytes with a predominantly coastal (*Dendroalsia abietina*) or arctic-alpine distribution (*Anastrophyllum minutum*) have also been discovered in the thermal refugia of lava tube openings (S. L. Jessup, unpublished research). As a final example, the disjunct arctic-alpine liverwort, *Anastrophyllum minutum*, is only found in deep recesses of talus at the bottom of collapsed lava tubes (S. L. Jessup, unpublished research). Finally, a rare but in many ways keystone type of subterranean habitat includes hyporheic zones, which will be discussed further in the aquatic section below.

### **1.3 RARE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES**

#### **A. Background**

Rare and endangered species are an integral part of an inventory and monitoring program. Species that possess rarity can be influenced by a variety of factors affecting population numbers including, habitat fragmentation, pollution, disease, and climate change. The purposes of this section are to 1) provide a brief background on rarity, 2) discuss patterns of rarity and their implications for inventory and monitoring, 3) explore the general reasons why plants and animals are rare or endangered, 4) examine the threats to rare and endangered species within the Klamath network and 5) provide a list of rare species for the network (Table 2).

The evolutionary history of the Klamath region has resulted in a great deal of rarity due to endemism (taxa that occur in a specified area). Endemism is best understood among plants. Endemic plants can be classified into recently evolved rare species (i.e., neoendemics), or old species that were once more broadly distributed, but have retreated to current distributional ranges (i.e., paleoendemics) (Fiedler 2001). The Klamath region is renowned for the presence of many paleoendemics, and it is considered to have played a central role in the vegetation history of the west as a refugium during climatic fluctuations (Whittaker 1961, Chapter 1). The Klamath region not only has a rich relictual flora, but it is also rich in neoendemics (Smith and Sawyer 1988). The presence of serpentine and other unusual substrata fosters speciation, first through the development of ecotypes specialized to the substratum, followed by lack of genetic exchange with parent populations (Grant 1981). The occurrence of a rich regional flora, with many rapidly evolving genera, and cytological factors such as polyploidy also foster neoendemism (Stebbins and Major 1965).

Other conspicuous taxa tend not to be as geographically restricted. However, global and regional declines in fish stocks, amphibians and neotropical migratory birds over the last two decades have heightened concerns about populations of these animals in most network parks. Moreover, aquatic species, while mostly not endemic to the Klamath Region are commonly endemic to the Pacific Northwest and threatened due to potential rarity. For example, FEMAT (1993) identified 314 stocks of fish endemic to the Pacific Northwest within the range of the spotted owl. Similarly, FEMAT (1993) found that 13 of 62 amphibians native to the Pacific Northwest are endemic.

Rarity among mammals is generally not a function of local or regional endemism. Collectively, mammals possess a bimodal pattern of rarity, with many relatively common and rare species (Yu and Dobson 2001). When considering rarity in this taxon, orders with large species (in terms of body mass) have a large proportion of rare species (e.g., perissodactyls, carnivores, primates, diprotodonts). Furthermore, approximately 25% of all mammals are listed as threatened by IUCN (Yu and Dobson 2001). Based upon numbers of taxa, rodents and bats should contain the largest numbers of rare species. However, due to sampling biases (e.g., common species of rodents are more often surveyed than rare species, bats have large home ranges and are dependent on roosting structures that are not evenly distributed throughout the landscape) uncertainties are inherent of this claim (Yu and Dobson 2001).

Much less is known about rarity among inconspicuous life forms (fungi, lichens, non-vascular plants, invertebrates and microbes) (Marcot 1997). The remainder of this document will therefore focus on rarity in relatively conspicuous species.

#### **1.4 PATTERNS OF RARITY AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR INVENTORY AND MONITORING**

Rabinowitz's (1981) 7 pattern model of rarity focuses on three main aspects of species population dynamics (Table 1): local population density, the area of the species range, and the number of habitats which the species occupies. We describe how these patterns of rarity would influence potential monitoring of rare species next. Examples of species exhibiting the different types of rarity are in Table 1.

Table 1. Seven forms of rarity based on geographic range, habitat specificity and local abundance, with examples from the Klamath Network. From Rabinowitz (1981).

<b>GEOGRAPHIC RANGE</b>	<b>Large</b>		<b>Small</b>	
<b>Habitat Specificity</b>	<b>Wide</b>	<b>Narrow</b>	<b>Wide</b>	<b>Narrow</b>
	<b>Widespread</b>	<b>Predictable</b>	<b>Unlikely</b>	<b>Endemic</b>
<b>Large Dominant Local Populations</b>	<b>COMMON SPECIES—</b>	<b>Whitebark Pine</b> ( <i>Pinus albicaulis</i> )  <i>White pelican</i> ( <i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i> )	<b>Port-Orford cedar</b> ( <i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> )  <b>Tanoak</b> ( <i>Lithocarpus densifloris</i> )	<b>California Pitcher plant</b> ( <i>Darlingtonia californica</i> )
<b>Small, Non-dominant Local Populations</b>	<b>SPARSE SPECIES</b>  <i>Clustered Lady's Slipper</i> ( <i>Cypripedium fasciculatum</i> )	<b>Beaked rush</b> ( <i>Rhynchospora alba</i> )	<b>Gentner's Fritillary</b> ( <i>Fritilaria gentneri</i> ).	<b>Howell's Alkalai grass</b> ( <i>Puccinellia howellii</i> )

1) *Large geographic range, wide habitat specificity, and small non-dominant local populations.* Species with this distributional pattern of rarity present few problems for inventory and monitoring. Species will be widespread geographically and present in many habitat types, although they exist in small non-dominant local populations. This non-dominant population status can make inventory and monitoring efforts attainable in a broad sense because habitats containing the species are abundant. Consequently, within broad habitat types, the small populations may be hard to locate because they are non-dominant and can be responding to microclimate factors. Because of this, a moderately high level of sampling effort may be necessary to efficiently inventory and monitor this type of rarity.

2) *Large geographic range, narrow habitat specificity, and large dominant local populations.* Species that fall within this pattern of rarity should be easily targeted for inventory and monitoring efforts. The key here is to determine what important habitats these species occupy and then to stratify sampling effort in these habitats. As long as stratification is included in sampling design, large dominant local populations of the species should be easy to inventory and monitor without high levels of sampling effort.

3) *Large geographic range, narrow habitat specificity, small, non-dominant, local populations.* These species may be good candidates for monitoring. Population changes may be compared

with those in other geographic regions. Narrow habitat specificity suggests that these species will be sensitive to changes in their environment.

*4) Small geographic range, broad habitat specificity, and large dominant local populations.*

These species will be less abundant in our network than those with wide geographic ranges. Species with a narrow geographic range will be represented in a portion of the network or possible in just a portion of a single park. This narrow geographic range can be determined from existing data on distribution of the species, and monitoring efforts should be efficient within this range due to broad habitat specificity and large dominant local populations.

*5) Small geographic range, broad habitat specificity, and small non-dominant local populations.*

Sampling for species within this fifth pattern of rarity adds another level of difficulty to those species possessing type 4 rarity. Once the species' narrow geographic range is pinpointed, the broad habitat specificity should facilitate monitoring within several habitats so stratification to a single habitat or habitat association is not as important as it is in types with restricted habitat specificity. Problems arise within this pattern because populations are small and non-dominant, thus detection may be impeded within the large number of habitats the species inhabit. Extensive sampling may be necessary within several habitat types to efficiently inventory and monitor type 5 rarity.

*6) Narrow geographic range, narrow habitat specificity, and large dominant local populations.*

Species with this type of rarity may be the second most difficult to efficiently inventory and monitor. Sampling for species with narrow geographic range and habitat specificity, but with large dominant local populations is an issue of pinpointing within the narrow geographic range, the habitat type or association that the species primarily inhabit. Large dominant local populations make this kind of species abundant within their narrow range and habitat specificity. To efficiently document such species there are two important considerations, 1) geographic range must be determined from existing distributions prior to surveys and 2) sampling must be stratified within the appropriate habitats. A particularly noteworthy example of this type of rarity in the Network is Howell's alkali grass (*Puccinellia howellii*). This species has a narrow geographic range and habitat specificity because it is found in just one wetland in the network, but within this wetland the species has large local populations.

*7) Small geographic range, narrow habitat specificity, and small non-dominant local populations.*

This pattern of rarity will cause the most logistic problems for inventory and monitoring. Once geographic range is documented and sampling efforts are stratified within specific habitats, there is still a possibility that small local populations will be overlooked. To efficiently monitor this type of rarity sampling effort may have to be increased. The 3 important considerations to efficiently monitor this type of rarity are as follows: 1) geographic range must be pinpointed prior to surveys, 2) sampling effort must be stratified, and 3) extensive sampling effort may be necessary to efficiently document the species occurrence within stratified habitats. Species that possess this type 7 rarity would be the marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) or the Northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*). For example, due to habitat requirements for marbled murrelet including, proximity to coastline, and availability of old growth forest for nesting, the species fits the first two requirements for this type of rarity, narrow geographic range and habitat specificity. Additionally, because the only park in the



Klamath network, Redwood, is located along the southern part of the species range we can expect small non-dominant populations.

## **1.5 ANTHROPOGENIC INFLUENCES ON RARITY**

There are many reasons that plant and animal species can be threatened or endangered. First and foremost, species become threatened or endangered because of habitat fragmentation and destruction, which often occurs because of land use changes. Increases in agriculture and urban sprawl, relics of increasing human populations contribute immensely to land use change. Unfortunately, this is partially out of our control, but further developments in land use planning are imperative if we are to minimize negative effects on plant and animal populations.

Similarly, habitat degradation in the form of pollution, contributes to declines in rare and endangered species. The effects of degradation (e.g., increases in ozone levels, agricultural runoff, surface water acidification) are not as obvious as fragmentation and destruction because they may not create abrupt changes. Results of degradation require close monitoring to document these small changes before they have had a chance to cause drastic impacts on community composition and health.

Disease is another factor that can lead to species becoming increasingly threatened and endangered. In small isolated populations (created primarily by fragmentation and habitat destruction) the effects of disease may be magnified tremendously. Without nearby source populations to recolonize patches where populations have declined because of disease, the habitat may remain unoccupied by the species consequently increasing rarity. Similarly, stochastic events (e.g., fire, severe weather, and storms) can effect small populations.

Fire management, climatic change, and very specific habitat requirements can all contribute to increased rarity. Overexploitation in terms of historical hunting or harvesting and collection for scientific collections (e.g. herbarium, bird, and mammal collections) can contribute to decreases in population numbers of rare and endangered species. Finally, exotic species (especially those that are considered invasive) can increase rarity among species because invasives produce fierce competition with natives.

Species that are habitat generalists are more resistant to many of these changes because they can adapt to habitat variability. These species can more efficiently adapt to changing conditions and will be less affected by fragmentation. Habitat generalists can ordinarily be expected to be common and widespread because of their environmental flexibility (Brown 1984).

Several broad influences affect the status of habitat specialists. Examples of these influences are pollution and climate change. Habitat specialists are also more vulnerable to stochastic events, loss of genetic diversity, and inbreeding. Small population size and restricted location (i.e., endemic species) contribute to increased vulnerability to stochastic events and habitat destruction. Furthermore, habitat specialists who by definition have very specific niches, can be dependent other species to maintain viable population numbers. For example, specialist pollinators form mutualistic relationships with the plant species that they pollinate. If the

pollinators' populations exhibit declines then in turn the host plant species that is dependent on the pollinator will suffer a decline as well.

## **1.6 GENERAL THREATS TO RARE SPECIES IN THE KLAMATH NETWORK**

Populations of rare or endangered species will be negatively affected by habitat alteration where fragmentation produces small isolated patches with limited connectivity. Some species may rely on a certain amount of connectivity in the landscape to efficiently breed and maintain their genetic diversity. This may be of concern in the Klamath network where transboundary issues are evident. Much of the network is surrounded by National Forest land where timber harvest activity may increase fragmentation. Timber harvest operations may facilitate disease spread when pathogens are transported unintentionally by harvesting equipment.

Habitat degradation is a more subtle danger to rare and endangered species. Degradation in the form of pollution may reduce habitat quality and decrease populations of plant or animal species. If the species of concern is a k-selected species then these effects are magnified, because the species are long lived with low reproductive rates (i.e., bats, who generally only produce one pup a year and can live to around 20 years of age). Accordingly, these species are slow to respond to environmental change. Moreover, these species will be unable to respond to overexploitation in the form of hunting, harvesting, or over-collecting for scientific collections.

Human and/or visitor uses impact the natural environments of our national parks. Visitors may inadvertently or intentionally pollute or degrade rare habitats and subsequently destroy areas crucial to maintain viable populations of rare and endangered species. For this reason it is important to identify areas where these types of habitats exist and direct heavy visitor use away from these habitats. Because park visitors may be interested in frequenting areas that contain rare or endangered species, park staff should ensure visitor awareness by interpretive activities and signing.

A variety of fire management actions occur on national parks, such as fire suppression and fuels manipulations. A variety of amphibian species have declined across large portions of their range throughout the United States (Corn 2000), and information on amphibian responses to fire and fuel reduction practices is critically needed (Pilliod et al. 2003). Fellers and Drost (1993) hypothesized that in the southern Cascade Mountains, the cessation of cattle grazing and fire suppression has resulted in loss of open meadow habitat which may be one of the factors contributing to the disappearance of Cascade's frog (*Rana cascadae*) from California. To efficiently manage rare and endangered species, evaluating the effects of fire suppression not only on amphibians, but on all taxa present within the network is necessary.

It is not only the absence of fire that can have negative effects on rare and endangered species, but certain fire management approaches (e.g., wet season burning, crushing vegetation) can be a threat to rare and endangered species as well. Exotic grasses invading chaparral can threaten species that are sensitive to repeat burning which occurs more frequently with grass fuel present. Although, mechanical thinning or prescription burning may influence an amphibian community, the occurrence of severe wildfire may have even stronger effects (Pilliod et al. 2003). Our

network must strive to understand how all aspects of fire management will affect rare and endangered species, not just the effects of fire suppression on rare and endangered species.

Exotic species, particularly those which are invasive, can detrimentally impact rare and endangered species. Invasive exotics may displace rare species through competition (Appendix I). Once species fall victim to this type of competition, portions of habitat formerly occupied by populations may be inaccessible to recolonization events. For these reasons, exotic species can impede efforts to maintain viable populations of rare and endangered species. For example, Port-Orford cedar root rot disease caused by the exotic species *Phytophthora lateralis* is damaging cedar trees at an alarming rate. Although not yet considered a rare plant, the cedar may be headed for the same fate as the American Chestnut. For more information on exotic species refer to the exotic species threats appendix of this document.

### **1.7 RARE SPECIES IN THE KLAMATH PARKS, AND THEIR STATUS**

The following Table lists the rare species of the parks as recognized by NatureServe. NatureServe is a non-profit conservation organization that provides the scientific information to help guide effective conservation action. NatureServe and its network of natural heritage programs have been described as the leading source for information about rare and endangered species and threatened ecosystems (<http://www.natureserve.org/aboutUs/>). Parks in Oregon had their rankings taken from the Oregon Natural Heritage Program (<http://oregonstate.edu/ornhic/>). Parks in California had their information taken from the California Natural Diversity Database. Conservation status ranks are based on a one to five scale, ranging from critically imperiled (G1) to demonstrably secure (G5). Status is assessed and documented at three distinct geographic scales-global (G), national (N), and state/province (S). The one through five number scale has the following meaning: **1** = critically imperiled, **2** = imperiled, **3** = vulnerable to extirpation or extinction, **4** = apparently secure, **5** = demonstrably widespread, abundant, and secure. Additional qualifiers that may follow these numbers in the table are: X = presumed extinct, H = possibly extinct, U = unrankable, NR = not ranked, Q = questionable taxonomy, C = only in captivity or cultivation, B = breeding, N = nonbreeding, and M = migrant. Detailed descriptions of rankings are provided at <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/ranking.htm>.

Table 2. Rare species in the Klamath Parks and their Global, State, and Federal status.

## Crater Lake National Park

Scientific Name	Common Name	Global Rank	State Rank	Federal Status
<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	Goshawk	G5	S3B	6
<i>Arabis suffrutescens</i> var <i>horizontalis</i>	Crater Lake rockcress	G5T1	S1	6
<i>Arnica viscosa</i>	Sticky arnica	G4	S2	
<i>Ascaphus truei</i>	Coastal tailed frog	G4	S3	7
<i>Asyndesmus lewis</i>	Lewis' woodpecker			
<i>Bassariscus astutus</i>	Ringtail	G5	S3	
<i>Botrychium lanceolatum</i>	triangle moonwort	G5	S3	
<i>Botrychium pumicola</i>	Pumice grapefern	G3	S3	
<i>Bucephala albeola</i>	Bufflehead	G5	S2B,S5N	
<i>Bucephala islandica</i>	Barrow's goldeneye	G5	S3B,S3N	
<i>Carex abrupta</i>	abrupt-beaked sedge	G5	S1	
<i>Carex crawfordii</i>	Crawford's sedge	G5	S1	
<i>Carex integra</i>	Smooth beaked sedge	G5	S3	
<i>Carex Whitneyi</i>	Whitney's sedge			
<i>Charina bottae</i>	Rubber boa			
<i>Collomia mazama</i>	Mt. Mazama collomia	G3	S3	
<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	Olive-sided flycatcher	G4	S3B	7
<i>Cryptantha simulans</i>	Pine woods cryptantha	G4	SNR	
<i>Dicentra formosa</i> ssp. <i>oregana</i>	Pacific bleeding heart	G5T4	S4	
<i>Empidonax traillii</i> ? See notes**	Little willow flycatcher	G5T3T4	S3S4B	
<i>Epilobium palustre</i>	swamp willow-herb	G5	SNR	
<i>Eriogonum pyrolifolium</i> var. <i>pyrolifolium</i>	oarleaf buckwheat	G4T4	SNR	
<i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i>	American peregrine falcon	G4T3	S2B	
<i>Gulo gulo luteus</i>	California wolverine	G4T3Q	S1?	7
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Bald eagle	G4	S4B, S4N	2
<i>Hieracium greenei</i>	Greene's hawkweed	G3G4	SNR	
<i>Hieracium horridum</i>	shaggy hawkweed	G4	SNR	
<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	Silver-haired bat	G5	S3S4	7
<i>Lutra canadensis</i>	River otter			
<i>Martes americana</i>	American marten	G5	S3S4	
<i>Martes pennanti</i>	Fisher	G5	S2	5
<i>Melanerpes lewis</i>	Lewis's woodpecker	G4	S2S3B	7
<i>Myotis evotis</i>	Long-eared myotis	G5	S4	7
<i>Myotis volans</i>	Long-legged myotis	G5	S3	7
<i>Myotis yumanensis</i>	Yuma myotis	G5	S3	7
<i>Oreortyx pictus</i>	Mountain quail	G5	S4	7
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	coho salmon	G4T2Q	S2	2
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	steelhead/rainbow trout	G5T3Q	S2S3	
<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>	American white pelican	G3	S2B	
<i>Picoides albolarvatus</i>	White-headed woodpecker	G4	S2S3	7

<i>Picoides arcticus</i>	Black-backed three-toed woodpecker	G5	S3	
<i>Picoides tridactylus</i>	Northern three-toed woodpecker			
<i>Poa bolanderi</i>	Bolander's bluegrass	G5	SNR	
<i>Rana cascadae</i>	Cascades frog	G3G4	S3	7
<i>Rana pretiosa</i>	Spotted frog	G2	S2	5
<i>Ribes inerme var. klamathense</i>	white stem gooseberry	G5T3?	SNR	
<i>Salvelinus confluentus</i>	Bull trout (Klamath River population)	G3T2Q	S2	2
<i>Sayornis nigricans</i>	Black phoebe			
<i>Sceloporus graciosus graciosus</i>	Northern sagebrush lizard	G5T5	S5	7
<i>Sciurus griseus</i>	Western gray squirrel	G5	S4	
<i>Sorbus californica</i>	California mountain ash	G5	SNR	
<i>Strix nebulosa</i>	Great grey owl	G5	S3	
<i>Strix occidentalis caurina</i>	Northern spotted owl	G3T3	S3	2
<i>Taricha granulosa mazamae</i>	Crater Lake newt	G5T1Q	S1	
<i>Torreyochloa erecta</i>	few-flowered mannagrass	G4G5	S3	
<i>Utricularia minor</i>	lesser bladderwort	G5	S2	

## Oregon Caves National Monument

<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	Northern goshawk	G5	S3B	6
<i>Ascaphus truei</i>	Tailed frog	G4	S3	6
<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	Olive-sided flycatcher	G4	S3B	6
<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	Townsend's big-eared bat	G4	S2	6
<i>Empidonax traillii brewsteri</i>	Little willow flycatcher	G5T3T4	S3S4B	
<i>Lampropeltis zonata</i>	California Mountain kingsnake	G4G5	S4	6
<i>Martes pennanti</i>	Pacific fisher	G5	S2	5
<i>Myotis evotis</i>	Long-eared myotis	G5	S4	6
<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	Fringed myotis	G4G5	S2	6
<i>Myotis volans</i>	Long-legged myotis	G5	S3	6
<i>Myotis yumanensis</i>	Yuma myotis	G5	S3	6
<i>Nebria gebleri siskiyouensis</i>	Siskiyou gazelle beetle	G4G5T4	S4	6
<i>Rana pretiosa</i>	spotted frog	G2	S2	C
<i>Rhyacotriton variegates</i>	southern torrent salamander	G3G4	S3	6
<i>Plethodon elongatus</i>	Del Norte salamander	G4	S3	6
<i>Strix occidentalis</i>	Northern spotted owl	G3T3	S3	2

## Lassen Volcanic National Park

<i>Accipiter gentiles</i>	Northern goshawk	G5	S3	7
<i>Asplenium septentrionale</i>	Northern Spleenwort	G4G5	S2.3	7
<i>Campanula scabrella</i>	Rough Harebell	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Carex lasiocarpa</i>	Wooly-fruited Sedge	G5	S1.3?	7
<i>Carex limosa</i>	Shore Sedge	G5	S3?	7
<i>Catostomus microps</i>	Modoc sucker	G1	S1	1
<i>Collomia larsenii</i>	Talus Collomia	G4	S1.2	7
<i>Corydalis caseana caseana</i>	Sierra Corydalis			

<i>Draba aureola</i>	Golden Draba	G4	S1.3	7
<i>Drosera angelica</i>	Long-leaf Sundew	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Empidinox traillii</i>	Willow flycatcher	G5	S1S2	7
<i>Erigeron elegantulus</i>	Volcanic Daisy	G4G5	S3.3	7
<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	Silver-haired bat			
<i>Lycopus uniflorus</i>	Northern Bugleweed	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Marsilea oligospora</i>	Pacific Water-clover			
<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	Fringed myotis	G4G5	S4	7
<i>Myotis yumanensis</i>	Yuma myotis	G5	S4?	7
<i>Myotis evotis</i>	Long-eared myotis	G5	S4?	7
<i>Myotis volans</i>	Long-legged myotis	G5	S4?	7
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	coho salmon	G4	S2?	2
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	steelhead/rainbow trout	G5	S2	7
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	chinook salmon	G5	S1	2
<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>	American white pelican	G3	S1	7
<i>Penstemon cinicola</i>	Ash Beard-tongue	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Penstemon heterodoxus</i> var. <i>shastensis</i>	Shasta Beard Tongue	G5T3	S3.3	7
<i>Phlox muscoides</i>	Moss Phlox	G4	S2S3	7
<i>Potamogeton praelongus</i>	White-stemmed Pondweed	G5	S1S2	7
<i>Rana cascadae</i>	Cascades frog	G3G4	S3	7
<i>Rana pretiosa</i>	spotted frog	G2	S1	5
<i>Rhynchospora alba</i>	White-beaked Rush	G5	S3.2	7
<i>Scheuchzeria palustris</i> amer.	American Scheuchzeria	G5T5	S1.1	7
<i>Scirpus subterminalis</i>	Water Bulrush	G4G5	S2S3	7
<i>Silene invisa</i>	Short-petalled campion			
<i>Silene suksdorfii</i>	Cascade Alpine Catchfly	G4	S2.3	7
<i>Smelowskia ovalis congesta</i>	Mt. Lassen Smelowskia	G5T1	S1.2	7
<i>Stellaria obtusa</i>	Obtuse Starwort	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Strix nebulosa</i>	Great grey owl	G5	S1	7
<i>Strix occidentalis</i>	California spotted owl	G3T3	S3	7
<i>Trimorpha acris</i> var. <i>debilis</i>	Northern Daisy	G5T4	S2S3	7

## Lava Beds National Monument

<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>	Cooper's hawk	G5	S3	7
<i>Antrozous pallidus</i>	Pallid bat	G5	S3	7
<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	Townsend's big-eared bat	G4T3T4	S2S3	7
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Bald Eagles	G4	S2	2
<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	Silver-haired bat			
<i>Myotis ciliolabrum</i>	Western small-footed myotis	G5	S?	7
<i>Myotis evotis</i>	Long-eared myotis	G5	S4?	7
<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	Fringed myotis	G4G5	S4	7
<i>Myotis volans</i>	Long-legged myotis	G5	S4?	7
<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>	American white pelican	G3	S1	7
<i>Riparia riparia</i>	bank swallow	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Taxidea taxus</i>	American Badger	G5	S4	7

## Redwood National and State Park

<i>Abronia umbellata</i> ssp. <i>breviflora</i>	pink sand-verbena	G4G5T2	S2.1	7
<i>Acipenser medirostris</i>	green sturgeon	G3	S1S2	7
<i>Ascaphus truei</i>	Tailed frog	G4	S2S3	7
<i>Balaenoptera borealis</i>	sei whale			
<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>	finback whale			
<i>Boschniakia hookeri</i>	small groundcone	G5	S1S2	7
<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>	Marbled murrelet	G3G4	S1	2
<i>Branta canadensis leucopareia</i>	Aleutian Canada geese	G5T3	S2	8
<i>Calamagrostis bolanderi</i>	Bolander's reed grass	G3	S3.2	7
<i>Calamagrostis crassiglumis</i>	Thurber's reed grass	G3Q	S1.2	7
<i>Calamagrostis foliosa</i>	leafy reed grass	G3	S3.2	7
<i>Calystegia atriplicifolia</i> ssp. <i>buttensis</i>	Butte County morning-glory	G5T2	S3.2	7
<i>Caretta caretta</i>	Loggerhead turtle			
<i>Castilleja affinis</i> ssp. <i>litoralis</i>	Oregon coast Indian paintbrush	G4G5T4	S2.2	7
<i>Castilleja miniata</i> ssp. <i>elata</i>	Siskiyou Indian paintbrush	G5T3	S2.2	7
<i>Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus</i>	Snowy plovers	G4T3	S2	2
<i>Chelonia mydas</i>	Green turtle			
<i>Cypripedium californicum</i>	California lady's-slipper	G3	S3.2	7
<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	Leatherback turtle			
<i>Dicentra formosa</i> ssp. <i>oregana</i>	Oregon bleeding heart	G5T4	S3.2	7
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>	small spikerush	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Empetrum nigrum</i> ssp. <i>hermaphroditum</i>	black crowberry	G5T5	S2?	7
<i>Erigeron cervinus</i>	Siskiyou daisy	G3	S3.3	
<i>Erigeron supplex</i>	supple fleabane	G1	S1.1	7
<i>Eriogonum pendulum</i>	Waldo buckwheat	G4	S2.2	7
<i>Erysimum franciscanum</i>	San Francisco wallflower	G3	S3.2	7
<i>Eucyclogobius newberryi</i>	Tidewater goby	G3	S2S3	1
<i>Eumatopias jubatus</i>	Stellar sea lion	G3	S2	2
<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	Peregrine falcon	G4T3	S2	8
<i>Gilia capitata</i> ssp. <i>pacifica</i>	Pacific gilia	G5T3T4	S?	7
<i>Glehnia littoralis</i> ssp. <i>leiocarpa</i>	American glehnia	G5T5	S3.2	7
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Bald eagles	G4	S2	2
<i>Hemizonia congesta</i> ssp. <i>tracyi</i>	Tracy's tarplant	G5T3	S3.3	7
<i>Horkelia sericata</i>	Howell's horkelia	G3G4	S3.3	7
<i>Iliamna latibracteata</i>	California globe mallow	G3	S3.3	7
<i>Iris innominata</i>	Del Norte County iris	G4G5	S3.3	7
<i>Iris tenax</i> ssp. <i>klamathensis</i>	Orleans iris	G4G5T3	S3.3	7
<i>Juncus supiniformis</i>	hair-leaved rush	G5	S2.2?	7
<i>Lathyrus biflorus</i>	two-flowered pea	G1	S1.1	7
<i>Lathyrus delnorticus</i>	Del Norte pea	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Lathyrus japonicus</i>	sand pea	G5	S1.1	7
<i>Lathyrus palustris</i>	marsh pea	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Layia carnos</i>	beach layia	G1	S1.1	1

<i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i>	Olive Ridley sea turtle			
<i>Lilium bolanderi</i>	Bolander's lily	G4	S3.2	7
<i>Lilium occidentale</i>	western lily	G1	S1.2	1
<i>Lilium pardalinum ssp. vollmeri</i>	Vollmer's lily	G5T4	S3.3	7
<i>Lilium pardalinum ssp. wigginsii</i>	Wiggins' lily	G4T4	S3.3	7
<i>Lilium washingtonianum ssp. purpurascens</i>	purple-flowered Washington lily	G4T4	S3.3	7
<i>Listera cordata</i>	heart-leaved twayblade	G5	S3.2	7
<i>Lomatium tracyi</i>	Tracy's lomatium	G3	S3.3	7
<i>Lycopodium clavatum</i>	running-pine	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Lycopus uniflorus</i>	northern bugleweed	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Martes pennanti pacifica</i>	Pacific fisher	G5T3T4Q	S2S3	5
<i>Minuartia howellii</i>	Howell's sandwort	G4	S3.2	7
<i>Moneses uniflora</i>	woodnymph	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Monotropa uniflora</i>	Indian-pipe	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Oenothera wolfii</i>	Wolf's evening-primrose	G1	S1.1	7
<i>Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii</i>	cutthroat trout	G4T4	S3	7
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	coho salmon	G4	S2?	2
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	steelhead/rainbow trout	G5	S2	7
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	chinook salmon	G5	S1	2
<i>Oxalis suksdorfii</i>	Suksdorf's wood-sorrel	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>	American white pelican	G3	S1	7
<i>Pelecanus occidentalis californicus</i>	Brown pelican	G4T3	S1S2	1
<i>Pinguicula vulgaris ssp. macroceras</i>	horned butterwort	G5T4Q	S3.2	7
<i>Pityopus californicus</i>	California pinefoot	G4G5	S3.2	7
<i>Plethodon elongatus</i>	Del Norte salamander	G3	S3	7
<i>Pleuropogon refractus</i>	nodding semaphore grass	G4	S3.2?	7
<i>Poa piperi</i>	Piper's blue grass	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Pyrrocoma racemosa var. congesta</i>	Del Norte pyrrocoma	G5T4	S2.3	7
<i>Rana aurora daytonii</i>	California red-legged frog	G4T2T3	S2S3	2
<i>Rhyacotriton olympicus</i>	Olympic salamander			
<i>Rhyacotriton variegates</i>	southern torrent salamander	G3G4	S2S3	7
<i>Ribes laxiflorum</i>	trailing black currant	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Riparia riparia</i>	bank swallow	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Salix delnortensis</i>	Del Norte willow	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	great burnet	G5?	S2.2	7
<i>Sanicula peckiana</i>	Peck's sanicle	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Saxifraga howellii</i>	Howell's saxifrage	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Senecio bolanderi var. bolanderi</i>	seacoast ragwort	G4T4	S1.2	7
<i>Senecio macounii</i>	Siskiyou Mountains ragwort	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Sidalcea malachroides</i>	maple-leaved checkerbloom	G2	S3.2	7
<i>Sidalcea malviflora ssp. patula</i>	Siskiyou checkerbloom	G5T1	S1.1	7
<i>Sterna elegans</i>	elegant tern	G2	S1	7
<i>Strix occidentalis caurina</i>	Northern spotted owl	G3T3	S2S3	2
<i>Tauschia glauca</i>	glaucous tauschia	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Thlaspi californicum</i>	Kneeland Prairie pennycress	G1	S1.1	1



<i>Trientalis arctica</i>	arctic starflower	G5	S1.2	7
<i>Vancouveria chrysantha</i>	Siskiyou inside-out-flower	G4	S3.3	7
<i>Veratrum insolitum</i>	Siskiyou false-hellebore	G3	S3.3	7
<i>Viola langsdorfii</i>	Langsdorf's violet	G4	S1.1	7
<i>Viola palustris</i>	marsh violet	G5	S1S2	7
<i>Viola primulifolia ssp. occidentalis</i>	western bog violet	G4T2	S2.2	7

## Whiskeytown National Recreation Area

<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>	Cooper's hawk	G5	S3	7
<i>Accipiter striatus</i>	Sharp-shinned hawk	G5	S3	7
<i>Allium sanbornii var. sanbornii</i>	Sanborn's onion	G3T3	S3.2	7
<i>Allium tribracteatum</i>	three-bracted onion	G2	S2.2	7
<i>Arnica venosa</i>	Shasta County arnica	G3	S3.2	7
<i>Atrozous pallidus</i>	Pallid bat			
<i>Clarkia mildrediae</i>	Mildred's clarkia	G3T3	S3.3	7
<i>Clarkia virgata</i>	Sierra clarkia	G3	S3.3	7
<i>Clemmys marmorata marmorata</i>	Northwestern pond turtle			
<i>Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii</i>	Pacific western big-eared bat	G4T3T4	S2S3	7
<i>Cypripedium fasciculatum</i>	Clustered lady's slipper	G4	S3.2	7
<i>Dendroica petechia brewsteri</i>	Yellow warbler	G5T3?	S2	7
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>	Small spikerush	G5	S3.3	7
<i>Falco columbarius</i>	Merlin	G5	S3	7
<i>Gavia immer</i>	Common loon	G5	S1	7
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Southern bald eagle	G4	S2	2
<i>Icteria virens</i>	Yellow-breasted chat	G5	S3	7
<i>Juncus marginatus var marginatus</i>	Red-anthered juncus	G5T5	S2S3	7
<i>Larus californicus</i>	California gull	G5	S2	7
<i>Linanthus rattanii</i>	Rattan's linanthus			
<i>Martes pennanti pacifica</i>	Pacific fisher	G5T3T4Q	S2S3	5
<i>Navarretia heterandra</i>	Tehama navarretia	G3	S3.3	7
<i>Onchorynchus mykiss</i>	Steelhead trout	G5	S2	7
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Spring-run chinook salmon	G5T1Q	S1	2
<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	Osprey	G5	S3	7
<i>Penstemon purpusii</i>	Snowmountain beardtongue			
<i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i>	Double-crested cormorant	G5	S3	7
<i>Puccinellia howellii</i>	Howell's Alakali	G1	S1.1	7
<i>Rana aurora daytonii</i>	California red-legged frog	G4T2T3	S2S3	2
<i>Rana boylei</i>	Foothill yellow-legged frog	G3	S2S3	7
<i>Riparia riparia</i>	Bank swallow	G5	S2S3	7
<i>Sagittaria sanfordii</i>	Sanford's arrowhead			
<i>Sedum paradisum</i>	Canyon Creek stonecrop	G1	S1.3	7
<i>Spea hammondii</i>	western spadefoot	G3	S3	7
<i>Strix occidentalis caurina</i>	Northern spotted owl	G3T3	S2S3	2
<i>Trillium ovatum ssp. oettingerii</i>	Salmon Mountains wakerobin	G5T3	S3.2	7
<i>Triteleia crocea var. crocea</i>	Trinity Mountain triteleia			

**GRANK:** Global Rank reflects overall condition (rarity and endangerment) of an element throughout its range. Ranks are assigned by the CNDDDB biology staff following review of all available information.

GRANK	Meaning (at species or Natural Community level)
G1	Less than 6 Element Occurrences (EO) OR less than 1,000 individuals OR less than 2,000 acres
G2	6 - 20 EOs OR 1,000 - 3,000 individuals OR 2,000 - 10,000 acres
G3	21 - 100 EOs OR 3,000 - 10,000 individuals OR 10,000 - 50,000 acres
G4	Apparently secure; this rank is clearly lower than G3 but factors exist to cause some concern; i.e. there is some threat, or somewhat narrow habitat.
G5	Population or stand demonstrably secure to ineradicable due to being commonly found in the world.
GnTn	Subspecies receive a T-rank attached to the G-rank. With the subspecies, the G-rank reflects the condition of the entire species, whereas the T-rank reflects the global situation of just the subspecies; where n = 1, 2,3,4,5 as described above.
GH	All sites are historical; the element has not been seen for at least 20 years, but suitable habitat still exists.
GX	All sites are extirpated; this element is extinct in the wild.

**SRANK:** The State Rank reflects condition (rarity and endangerment) of an element within the State of California. Ranks may be combined to indicate a range, e.g. S1S2.

SRANK	Description
S1	Less than 6 Element Occurrences (EOs) OR less than 1,000 individuals OR less than 2,000 acres:
S1.1	Very threatened
S1.2	Threatened
S1.3	No current threats known
S2	6 - 20 EOs OR 1,000 - 3,000 individuals OR 2,000 - 10,000 acres:
S2.1	Very threatened

S2.2	Threatened
S2.3	No current threats known
S3	21 - 100 EOs OR 3,000 - 10,000 individuals OR 10,000 - 50,000 acres:
S3.1	Very threatened
S3.2	Threatened
S3.3	No current threats known
S4	Apparently secure within California; this rank is clearly lower than S3 but factors exist to cause some concern; i.e. there is some threat, or somewhat narrow habitat.
S5	Demonstrably secure to ineradicable in California. NO THREAT RANK.
SH	All sites as historical; the element has not been seen for at least 20 years, but suitable habitat still exists.
SX	All sites are extirpated; this element is extinct in the wild.

FEDLIST: Federal Listing Status: United States legal status under the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA)

FEDCODE	FEDDESC
1	Federally listed as Endangered
2	Federally listed as Threatened
3	Proposed for federal listing as Endangered
4	Proposed for federal listing as Threatened
5	Candidate for federal listing
6	Species of concern
7	None - no federal status
8	Delisted - previously listed

(See Federal Register for legal definitions of Federal status)

## 1.8 REFERENCES CITED

- Bacon, C.R., J.V. Gardner, L.A. Mayer, M.W. Buktenica, P. Dartnell, D.W. Ramsy, and J.E. Robinson. 2002. Morphology, volcanism, and mass wasting in Crater Lake, Oregon. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 114: 657-692.
- Bakker, E. 1971. An island called California, an ecological introduction to its natural communities. Second edition. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Bat Conservation International. 2004. Bat Species: U.S. Bats: *Eptesicus fuscus*. Available: <http://www.batcon.org/discover/species/efuscus.html>.
- Beever, E.A. 2002. Persistence of pikas in two low-elevation national monuments in the western United States. *Park Science* 21(2): 23-29.
- Beever, E.A., P.F. Brussard, and J. Berger. 2003. Patterns of extirpation among isolated populations of pikas (*Ochotona princeps*) in the Great Basin. *Journal of Mammalogy* 84:37-54.
- Brown, J. H. 1984. On the relationship between abundance and distribution of species. *American Naturalist* 124:255-279.
- California Native Plant Society's inventory of rare and endangered plants of California. Sixth Edition. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA, USA.
- Camp, R.J., and R.L. Knight. 1998. Effects of rock climbing on cliff plant communities at Joshua Tree National Park, California. *Conservation Biology* 12:1302-1306.
- Coleman, R.G. and A. R. Kruckeberg. 1999. Geology and plantlife of the Klamath-Siskiyou Region. *Natural Areas Journal* 19:320-340.
- Collier, R., J. Dymond, J. McManus, and J. Lupton. 1990. Chemical and physical properties of the water column in Crater Lake, OR. Pp.69-80 In E.T. Drake, G.L. Larson, J. Dymond, and R. Collier (eds) *Crater Lake- An Ecosystem Study*. Pacific Division of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, San Francisco, California.
- Corn, P.S., 2000. Amphibian declines: review of some current hypotheses. In: Sparling, D.W., Bishop, C.A., Linder, G. (Eds.), *Ecotoxicology of Amphibians and Reptiles*.
- Edwards, R.T. 1998. The hyporheic zone. In *River Ecology and Management*. R.J. Naiman and R.E. Bilby. Springer. pp. 399-429.
- Fellers, G.M. and C.A. Drost. 1993. Disappearance of the Cascades frog (*Rana cascadae*) at the southern end of its range, California, USA. *Biological Conservation* 65:177-181.

- FEMAT. 1993. Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic and social assessment. Report of the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team (FEMAT) 1996-793-071. Washington D.C: GPO.
- Fiedler, P. L. 2001. Rarity in vascular plants. Pages 2-4 in D. P. Tibor, (ed.) California Native Plant Society's inventory of rare and endangered plants of California. Sixth Edition. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA, USA.
- Grant, V. 1981. Plant Speciation, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Harrison, S. L. 1997. How natural habitat patchiness affects the distribution of diversity in Californian serpentine chaparral. *Ecology* 78:1898-1906.
- Harrison, S. L. 1999. Local and regional diversity in a patchy landscape: native, alien, and endemic herbs on serpentine. *Ecology* 80:70-80.
- Heunneke, L. F., S. P. Hamburg, R. Koide, H. A. Mooney, and P. M. Vitousek 1990. Effects of Soil Resources on Plant Invasion and Community Structure in Californian Serpentine Grassland. *Ecology* 71:478-491.
- Kaye, T.N., R.J. Meinke, J. Kagan, S. Vrilakas, K.L. Chambers, P.F. Zika, and J.K. Nelson. 1997. Patterns of rarity in the Oregon flora: implications for conservation and management. In, Kaye, T.N., A. Liston, R.M. Love, D. Luoma, R.J. Meinke, and M.V. Wilson [editors]. Conservation and management of native plants and fungi. Native Plant Society of Oregon, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Larson, D.W., U. Matthes, and P.E. Kelly. 1999. Cliffs as natural refuges. *American Scientist* 87:410-417.
- Marcot, B.G. 1997. Biodiversity of old forests of the west: a lesson from our elders. Pages 87-102 in K. A. Kohm and J. F. Franklin, eds. Creating a Forestry for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- McMillan, M., and D.W. Larson. 2002. Effects of rock climbing on the vegetation of the Niagara Escarpment in southern Ontario, Canada. *Conservation Biology* 16:389-98.
- Meyer, C.B., and S.L. Miller. 2002. Use of fragmented landscapes by marbled murrelets for nesting in southern Oregon. *Conservation Biology* 16:755-766.
- Meyer, C.B., S.L. Miller, and C.J. Ralph. 2002. Multi-scale landscape and seascape patterns associated with marbled murrelet nesting areas on the U.S. west coast. *Landscape Ecology* 17:95-115.
- Moldenke, A.R. 1999. Soil-dwelling arthropods: their diversity and functional roles. Pages 33-44 in R.T. Meurisse, W.G. Ypsilantis, and C. Seybold eds. Proceedings: Pacific Northwest

- Forest and Rangeland Soil Organism Symposium. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report PNW-GTR-461, 215 pp.
- Myers, N., R.A. Mittermeier, C.G. Mittermeier, G.A.B. da Fonseca, and J. Kent. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403:853-858.
- Noss R.F., O'Connell M.A., Murphy D.D. 1997. The science of conservation planning. Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- Noss, R.F. 2004. Conservation targets and information needs for regional conservation planning. *Natural Areas Journal* 24:223-231.
- Peters, R. L., and J. D. S. Darling. 1985. The greenhouse effect and nature reserves. *BioScience* 35:707-717.
- Pilliod, D.S., Bury, R.B., Hyde, E.J., Pearl, C.A., Corn, P.S. 2003. Fire and amphibians. *Forest Ecology and Management* 178: 163-181
- Rabinowitz, D. 1981. Seven forms of rarity. Pages 205-217 in H. Synge (ed.) The biological aspects of rare plant conservation. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Scott, J.M., B. Csuti, J.D. Jacobi, and J.E. Estes. 1987. Species richness: a geographic approach to protecting future biological diversity. *BioScience* 37:782-788.
- Showers, D. W. 1982. The mosses of Lassen Volcanic National Park, California. *Bryologist* 85: 324–328.
- Siering, P. L., and M. S. Wilson. 2001. Investigation of environmental and microbial diversity in high temperature, low pH geothermal features at Lassen Volcanic National Park. Abst. N-197, in 101st Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. May 19-23, 2001. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Sillett SC, Bailey MG 2003. Effects of tree crown structure on biomass of the epiphytic fern *Polypodium scolieri* (Polypodiaceae) in redwood forests. *American Journal of Botany* 90: 255-261.
- Sillett SC, Van Pelt R. 2000. A redwood tree whose crown is a forest canopy. *Northwest Science* 74: 34-43.
- Smith, A. R., C. D. MacNeill, and D. Richard 1993. Ferns of Lava Beds National Monument, Siskiyou County, California. *Madroño* 40:174–176.
- Stanford, J. A. and J.V. Ward. 1993. An ecosystem perspective of alluvial rivers: Connectivity and the hyporheic corridor. *Journal of the American Benthological Society*. 12:48-60.

- Stebbins, G. L. and J. Major. 1965. Endemism and speciation in the California flora. *Ecological Monographs* 35:1-35.
- Stohlgren, T.J., G.W. Chong, M.A. Kalkhan, and L.D. Schell. 1997. Multiscale sampling of plant diversity: effects of minimum mapping unit size. *Ecological Applications* 7: 1064-1074.
- Vitt, D. H. and René Belland 1997. Attribute of rarity among Albera Mosses: patterns and predictions of species diversity. *Bryologist* 100: 1–12.
- Yu, J. and F. S. Dobson. 2001. Special paper: seven forms of rarity in mammals.